

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	10/064,893	10/064,893 08/27/2002		Robert Anthony Fusaro JR.	120581	7971		
	6147	7590	03/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	GENERAL GLOBAL RE		RIC COMPANY		BAREFORD, KATHERINE A			
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	SCHENECTA	ADY, N	Y 12301-0008		1762			

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m/k					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/064,893	FUSARO ET AL.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Katherine A. Bareford	1762						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ınd an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-18</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:								

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendments to claims 1, 17 and 18 that the PTA apparatus is "comprising a first power supply and a pilot arc power supply" raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search by the Examiner, because this requirement as to the power supplies was not previously present in the claims. In claims 1 and 17 the amendment also raises possible 35 USC 112 issues, because it is unclear what is required of the first power supply.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are addressed to the claims as proposed to be amended. Since that amendment has not been entered, the Final Rejection is maintained for the reasons given in the Final Rejection. The Examiner notes that at paragraph 8 of the Final Rejection, the first two lines inadvertantly did not list EP 480 882 A1 as one of the references in the rejection (claims 1, 3-7, 10-13 and 15-17 were actually rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Hasz in view of French 2 527 891 and EP 480 882 A1). However, in the rest of the rejection of that paragraph, the teachings of '882 and its motivation for use in the rejection was clearly set out (see the last 2 paragraphs -- pages 7-8 of the Final Rejection).

KATHERINE A. BAREFORD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1100 / 200